

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,830		11/20/2003	Andy T. Nguyen	X-1464-2P US	2982	
24309	7590	11/17/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
XILINX	•		NGUYEN,	NGUYEN, MINH T		
ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2100 LOGIC DR				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95124				2816		
				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/719,830	NGUYEN, ANDY T.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minh Nguyen	2816					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.	Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-22,24-31</u> is/are allowed.	☑ Claim(s) <u>15-22,24-31</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 23</u> is/are rejected.	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-14 and 23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 November 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
	or the contined copies hat receive	<b>.</b>					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	ate atent Application (PTO-152)					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>\w/&amp;</u> 2/04 \ 8/31/04 \ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	, =						

Art Unit: 2816

#### **DETAILED ACTION** .

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As per claim 1, the recitation the clock terminal coupled to the input clock terminal on lines 10-11 appears misdescriptive. As shown in Fig. 6 of the present invention, the clock terminal of the divide-by-two register 605 receives the clock update signal CLK\_UPDT from the first counter circuit 601, not the CLKIN signal as recited. It is suggested that the recitation "a clock terminal coupled to the input clock terminal" be changed to -- a clock terminal coupled to receive a clock update signal from the first counter circuit -- to overcome the misdescriptive problem.

As per claims 2-13, the claims are rejected because the indefiniteness of independent claim 1.

### **Double Patenting**

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,830

Art Unit: 2816

Cir. 1993); In re Longi, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); In re Van Ornum, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, In re Thorington, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-2, 7-12, 14 and 23 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-2, 4-9, 13 and 24, respectively of copending Application No. 10/618,329. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because:

In claim 1, the recited first counter, register, output clock generator are the same as the first counter, register, output clock generator recited in claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/618,329. The recited second counter circuit is merely the combination of the set counter circuit and the reset counter circuit recited in claim 1 of copending Application No. 10/618,329.

In claim 14, the recited first three means are the same as the first three means recited in claim 13 of copending Application No. 10/618,329. The combination of the last three means is the same as the combination of the last two means recited in claim 13 of copending Application No. 10/618,329.

In claim 23, the same reasons as discussed in claim 14.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/719,830

Art Unit: 2816

#### Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and the double patenting rejections noted herein above are overcome.

Claims 1-13 are allowable because the prior art of record fails to disclose or suggest the inclusion of a second counter circuit having a plurality of data input terminals coupled to the output terminals of the divide-by-two register as recited in claim 1.

4. Claims 14-31 are allowed after double patenting rejections noted herein above are overcome.

Claims 14-31 are allowed for the reasons noted in claim 1.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Minh Nguyen whose telephone number is **571-272-1748**. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday, Friday 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Callahan can be reached on 571-272-1740. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

Art Unit: 2816

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Minh Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2816

11/12/04